

A True Copy

PREPARED BY THE COURT

Sue Regan

Eileen [REDACTED]

Plaintiff,

v.

William J. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

SUE REGAN
Deputy Clerk of Superior Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
CHANCERY DIVISION - FAMILY
PART

MERCER COUNTY

CIVIL ACTION
SUPERIOR COURT OF N.J.
MERCER COUNTY
RECEIVED AND FILED

DOCKET NO. FM-11-[REDACTED]

AUG 28 2009

CS# [REDACTED]

CIVIL ACTION

Sue Regan

AMENDED ORDER

SUE REGAN
DEPUTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

THIS MATTER having been opened to the court by David Perry Davis, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, [REDACTED], seeking the relief requested in the Notice of Motion; and Rottkamp & Flacks (Robert B. Rottkamp, Jr., Esq.), attorneys for the Defendant, [REDACTED] opposing the motion and seeking the relief requested in the Notice of Cross-Motion; and the court having reviewed the parties' submissions; and the court having heard oral argument; and the court having issued an order dated June 23, 2009, which established Defendant's new child support obligation in the amount of \$267 per week; and Mr. Rottkamp having written a letter to the court on June 30, 2009, in which he raised a concern about the accuracy of the court's child support guidelines software; and the court having raised this issue with staff at the Administrative Office of the Courts; and AOC staff having investigated the matter; and it appearing that there is an error in the manner in which the court's software calculated Defendant's child support obligation; and for other good cause shown:

IT IS on this 28th day of August 2009:

ORDERED as follows:

1. Effective June 1, 2009, Mr. [REDACTED] new child support obligation shall be \$251 per week. Mr. [REDACTED] shall receive a credit for any amount of child support that he has paid in excess of \$251 per week since June 1, 2009.

Mary Jacobson PGS
MARY C. JACOBSON, P.J.S.C.

Statement of Reasons per Rule 1:6-2(f):

On June 23, 2009, the court issued an order, which addressed the various requests for relief raised by the parties in the Notice of Motion filed on May 21, 2009 and the Notice of Cross-Motion filed on June 4, 2009, which included a request to recalculate Mr. [REDACTED] child support obligation. In the June 23, 2009 order, the court established Mr. [REDACTED] new obligation in the amount of \$267 per week, which was calculated using the New Jersey Child Support Guidelines.

On June 30, 2009, Robert B. Rottkamp, Jr., Mr. [REDACTED] attorney, wrote a letter to the court in which he expressed concern that the court's guidelines software might be inaccurate. Mr. Rottkamp attached to his letter a guidelines worksheet generated by his office, which used the same numbers as the court's worksheet, but yielded a child support obligation of \$251 per week, rather than the amount of \$267 that was calculated by the court's software. Ms. [REDACTED] attorney, David Perry Davis, Esquire, did not respond to Mr. Rottkamp's letter.

The court contacted staff at the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), who investigated this issue and determined that there was an error in the manner in which the court's software calculated Mr. [REDACTED] child support obligation. The AOC staff confirmed that Mr. Rottkamp's calculation of Mr. [REDACTED] child support obligation appears to be correct. Therefore, the court hereby amends the June 23, 2009 order to provide that Mr. [REDACTED] child support obligation shall be \$251 per week, effective June 1, 2009.